

Presenter:

Motivation		<p>2 points: Motivated by big-picture importance AND Lays out research question well AND Intuition behind hypotheses/mechanisms</p>	<p>1 point: Motivated mostly by gap in literature OR Motivation lacks big-picture importance OR Sparse intuition behind hypotheses OR Research question is not well defined</p>	<p>0 points: [Motivated mostly by gap in literature OR Motivation lacks big-picture importance OR Sparse intuition behind hypotheses] AND Research question is not well defined</p>
Context		<p>2 points: Adequate level of detail on economic context AND Policy context based on facts, not conjecture AND Clear connection to research question/empirical strategy</p>	<p>1 point: Too much or too little detail on economic context OR Some conjecture about policy context OR Economic context is only weakly connected to research question/empirical strategy</p>	<p>0 points: [Too much or too little detail on economic context OR Mostly conjecture about policy context] AND Economic context is only weakly connected to research question/empirical strategy</p>
Empirical Work	<p>5 points: Names the data source and sample years AND Clear estimating equation (e.g., DD, RD, etc.) AND Outcome and main independent variables defined AND Intuition behind identification is clear AND Correctly interprets coefficients in words (if possible) AND Provides summary statistics (if possible)</p>	<p>3 points: Does not fully name data source/sample years OR Estimating equation is unclear OR Outcome or main independent variables not clearly defined OR Intuition behind identification is unclear OR Does not correctly interpret coefficients in words (if possible) OR No summary statistics (if it was possible)</p>	<p>1 point: [Does not fully name data source/sample years OR Estimating equation is unclear OR Outcome or main independent variables not clearly defined OR Intuition behind identification is unclear OR No summary statistics (if it was possible)] AND Does not correctly interpret coefficients in words (if possible)</p>	<p>0 points: [Does not fully name data source/sample years OR Estimating equation is unclear OR Outcome or main independent variables not clearly defined OR No summary statistics (if it was possible)] AND Intuition behind identification is unclear AND Does not correctly interpret coefficients in words (if possible)</p>
Slide Construction		<p>3 points: Adequate amount of text/information per slide AND Information presented in logical order AND Tables/figures are NOT screenshots AND Punctuation and capitalization consistent throughout presentation</p>	<p>1 point: Too much/too little text/information on some slides OR Some information presented out of order OR Some tables/figures are screenshots OR Punctuation or capitalization is inconsistent throughout presentation</p>	<p>0 points: [Some information presented out of order OR Some tables/figures are screenshots OR Punctuation or capitalization is inconsistent throughout presentation] AND Too much/too little text/information on some slides</p>
Presentation		<p>3 points: Does not read off of slides AND Speaks at an adequate speed AND Spoken words support text on slides</p>	<p>1 point: [Speaks too fast/too slow OR Audience needs to listen and read to get the full story] AND Substantial reading off of slides</p>	<p>0 points: Speaks too fast/too slow AND Audience needs to listen and read to get the full story AND Mostly reading off of slides</p>
Q & A		<p>2 points: Prepared and able to answer most questions</p>	<p>1 point: Unable to adequately answer some questions</p>	<p>0 points: Unable to adequately answer several questions</p>
Time Limit		<p>1 point: Presentation within 20min limit</p>	<p>0 points: Presentation outside 20min limit</p>	

Notes: